

SKYLINE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE DISTRICT
MINUTES OF THE BOARD MEETING
September 21, 2023

A public meeting of the Directors of the Skyline Improvement and Service District was held on September 21, 2023, in person and via Zoom.

Kurt Harland and Bob Norton constituting a quorum were present.

Attending via Zoom were Warren Machol, Worthy and Maria Johnson, Deborah Krisik and Mike Minter.

Call Meeting to Order: Kurt Harland called the meeting to order at 4:02 p.m.

1. **Review and Approve Board Minutes of July 18, 2023**

Action: Bob Norton made a motion to approve the July 18, 2023, meeting minutes. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

2. **Review and Approve Board Minutes of August 17, 2023**

Action: Bob Norton made a motion to approve the July 18, 2023, meeting minutes. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - In looking at August 17th, a couple of things that I noticed. Number one, is that I believe that Latham is now the official secretary and it might be worthwhile if he is to try and review these again. As I mentioned last time, there's a lot that's in here. And on page five, Bob and Latham may want to just go over a little bit of the first three or four paragraphs to clean them up. I know what they're trying to say, but they didn't say it in a formal manner. Secondly, on page three is the election notice for Teton Media Works, which is \$49.50, but the election notice for the road share is missing.

The third thing would be when the board agrees to changing policies and posting on the website, I find it rather difficult to follow what the board has been doing over the last number of years, given the fact that you rarely, if ever, put at the bottom of the new policy the date that policy went into effect. I think it's important to do this so we can see when changes were made and in turn be able to reflect on that. I would appreciate it if the board would seriously consider doing that going forward.

The fourth thing, we appreciate you moving things at the end of the year, beginning of the following year into the two reserve accounts for the road and water. That has not been done efficiently in the past and it's appreciated. But, I still question when you have the operating account starting at \$204,432, where all that money came from. It looks as though it would start at some point at zero for July 1st. I know you have to keep reserves in there, but that \$204,000, this is from the end of July, and I feel that it should be put into the proper reserves. It is probably from eight years ago of having just sitting in the operating account.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - I reviewed page five and I did make some changes. The version posted included those changes. You are right on the split of the election notice, and we'll do that. It should have been split between the road and the water. The policy change, there should be a date after we approve and sign the policy. I agree with you, it's very important that those are dated, so we'll make sure that happens. Regarding the \$204,000 in the operating account, it came

from the taxes collected by the county treasurer. \$156,459 of the \$204,000 is in the Wyoming Government Investment Fund making the same interest as the water reserve and the road reserve. The funds are in reserve and earning interest. It is important to move funds each year, which we have done for the past two years. But there's some from previous years in the operating account, but the account is earning the higher interest rate.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - In the August minutes on page 27, Josh sent an email to Wendy and Bob regarding lot number 27, "The home was remodeled. The plumber was told the meter doesn't exist by the homeowner and electrician on the project. Evidently, there is no access to the crawl space. I didn't see any photos of meters taken in this home, thus, I presume we need to do a complete installation." Can someone give us an update on what that was all about?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - The property owner was notified that they needed to install a meter yolk at their expense, and that the district was only providing the meter. This was also relayed to Josh and Plumbing Anytime.

3. **Changes to Agenda:**

Action: Bob Norton requested to add the renewal of the property liability insurance (14A). Kurt Harland requested to add the return of the Bond for the Wagner project (14B).

4. **Adoption of Agenda:**

Action: Bob Norton made a motion to approve the agenda with the noted changes. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

No Public Comment

5. **Public Comment on items not appearing on the agenda:**

Kurt Harland (on behalf of a homeowner that contacted him) - A homeowner ask me if we can send a letter out explaining bird feeders and composting. Apparently, there's a couple homes that have open compost and that's a huge bear attractant. Since the compost is on the ground, it does encourage bear activity. So maybe we can craft a letter to the email list that just informs homeowners not to open compost and it's got to be bear compliant.

I had a homeowner call me about the Miller project. The driveway hasn't been paved and it is has mud flowing down onto Meadowlark. I'm kind of at my wit's end on how we can approach this or if there's anything we can do about it. I just wanted to get it into the record that that is source of contention on Meadowlark. There's a tremendous amount of gravel and mud that is on Meadowlark right now. I don't think it's fair to the adjacent homeowners. I just don't know that we have any teeth in our policy to do anything.

Bob Norton - They're required to get approval of their grading erosion control plan and required to maintain that plan. Of course, it's been raining today and causing a lot of problems. The construction site doesn't seem to be managed very well. Last week, I noticed that they did finally move the electrical service line off the neighbors and back onto their property or they are in the process of doing that. They did submit a grading erosion control plan that includes a culvert and includes some new ditches along Meadowlark Road, but they haven't installed them yet.

Kurt Harland - The culvert's got to go in before they pave. Again, I think it's distressing for the neighboring homeowners.

Worthy Johnson - I agree with you on it being more of a demolition site than a building site. The Miller project hasn't put gravel down on that driveway, nor has he ever put down a combination of gravel and cement. Today, a Macy's truck was stuck there around 11 o'clock this morning, halfway up the driveway in mud. It has very poor management. I think that from people on Meadowlark's perspective, he submitted a building plan, and the driveway

was in a certain location. That driveway should still be in the same location. The Skyline Ranch ISD or the Site Committee should not allow him to change that driveway to its current location. I believe they are not sticking to the building department's approval. But we are bending over backwards on the other side to let a builder do exactly what they want versus what the plan was. The location of that driveway is literally opposite the Minter's house and very close to the curve to the south. I feel from people on Meadowlark that they should maintain what they submitted to the building department.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - They did submit a new site plan in February. We requested that they show the new site plan to us, and it has been approved by the county. I disagree with Worthy. I think the old plan was not very well thought out and it would've been worse as far as traffic is concerned. The plan that they have now, if they get the culvert in and paving completed, it will be okay. We just need to push them to get it done. All we can do is continue to make him clean up the mess.

Kurt Harland - What repercussions are there when he doesn't? Like today, it looked like a mess up there. I sent him a note today.

Bob Norton - We can't shut down the whole operation. That really doesn't do us any good. We're better off to get it done.

Public Comment:

Maria Johnson - I agree about the situation at the Miller's. This morning was almost laughable. I mean the last truck you ever want to have stuck in your driveway is Macy's. I feel for the neighbors. I do think it's hazardous coming out of that driveway and turning right, going south. I think it's going to present a problem. If the town has passed, if they've accepted this change, I don't know what we can do about it. It's just unfortunate, I think for all of us. The other thing that I wanted to bring , I was wondering why the easement wasn't trimmed up there on the ridge. I like it trimmed. I think it looks great and it's needed, but it's not sufficient up there at all.

Board Response:

Kurt Harland - I'll get together with the landscape and ask him about that, and find out why that wasn't done.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - You were talking specifically about the construction site on Meadowlark. What are the requirements within our community review process for any builder to maintain the Skyline paved roads during their construction project?

Board Response:

Kurt Harland – As part of the approval process, they sign a document stating they will maintain a clean job site.

Bob Norton - There isn't anything in the covenants. It is generally in the approval. Generally, we ask them to put rock and gravel on the road and keep the Skyline Road clean and to clean it. He's done that several times, but he hasn't ever tried to put a good gravel surface. which is probably a good thing that he didn't because he had the electrical line off his property and he also had to put the gas line in. To answer your question, we don't have anything other than in our approval making it a condition of the approval.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - I guess I always like to learn from the past to improve the future. Maybe this is a area where we could make it part of the standard package of approvals. I do know that there's been certain properties that have been built and they've been very conscious of continually re-graveling their property, of bringing in brushing devices to clean the roads, actively making sure that the gravel's picked up. And then we've had other ones who have been

less fastidious in the process. Not to pick on too many, but the one on Tanager got to the point where the mud had come all the way out to Westridge in the winter and was bogging down the snow plowing abilities. I haven't personally been down to Meadowlark during the rain. But I can tell you when riding my bicycle this summer, several times I went down Meadowlark and there was not that much dirt but substantial amounts of large gravel on the road. I'd ride a couple of times in a week and over several weeks, and it doesn't appear to ever have been cleaned up. I don't know if the people that live down there and drive every day have a more indicative view if that was just a short period of time or continuous. I think the idea of having a specific set of standards to be kept or a means to do that and send them a bill for that cleanup would be beneficial to the members of the community.

John Willott - Following along on the new construction projects, has the review board looked at what's being done above my place, what I call the Bundy House? They basically, other than the garage, took it out and cleared a huge pad back on the far side of the house going to the south towards the Hunts.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - I don't believe there's been any submittal on that home. The only contact I have had is way back when they were looking for the curb stop where the water service was. We probably do need to talk to that owner and have them submit plans so that we can review it.

Public Comment:

John Willott - I know I did a big remodel. But there isn't anything left but concrete and they're now starting to rebuild it. They've cleared and pulled all the trees out and obviously a building pad for an auxiliary unit.

Mike Minter - I want to thank Maria and Worthy, as well as Warren, for their comments about this disaster on Meadowlark. It is driven Emmy crazy since he started this project, and she writes him at least once or twice a week asking that he clean the road. It is in the worst condition today since that project started. If the board would ask him, especially after a rainy period, if they would clean the road. It doesn't take that long to sweep the mud and the gravel off. There's just no reason they can't clean that road after a very rainy period and do it on a daily basis and not once a week.

Worthy Johnson - For things not on the agenda, is it possible for people to give us an update on the election and voting?

Office Response:

Wendy Meyring - One application was received for the open seat, from Jamie Streeter. He will be the only one named on the ballot, other than a write-in option. I received the voter query from the county for those that will be receiving their ballots. The earliest ballots can be mailed out will be October 13th. The latest is October 23rd. So it will be in between those dates that ballot envelopes will be going out to the registered voters.

6. Correspondence received by District Office:

Bob Norton, August 17, 2023
Josh Kilpatrick, August 17, 2023
Jason Waggoner, August 17, 2023
Mary Lohuis, August 17, 2023
Maria Johnson, August 17, 2023
Perk Perkins, August 18, 2023
Renee Knoke, August 21, 2023
Suzanne Lagerman, August 22, 2023
Maria Johnson, August 25, 2023
Warren Machol, August 28, 2023
Jamie Streater, August 28, 2023

James Miller, August 29, 2023
Maria Johnson, August 30, 2023
James Miller, August 30, 2023
Jon Jones, August 30, 2023
Josh Kilpatrick, August 30, 2023
Worthy Johnson, August 31, 2023
Warren Machol, August 31, 2023
Warren Machol, August 31, 2023
LGLP Renewals, September 1, 2023
Warren Machol, August 31, 2023
Worthy Johnson, September 2, 2023
Jamie Streater, September 13, 2023
James Miller, September 21, 2023

7. **Review 2-month August actuals vs. full year FY 2023-2024 Budget:**

Bob Norton – With only being two months into the fiscal year, we haven't collected any money. Taxes aren't due until November. We have received a few that were late invoices from the previous year that have come in from the expense side. Again, we're about 9-10% of the budget, so it's minimal at this point in time. More will show up in the next couple of months with the well #4 project and the meter project, there'll be more capital expenditures.

8. **Review August 31, 2023 Treasury Report:**

Bob Norton – The Treasury Report shows expenditures and some bills to be approved. If you'll see in red there, all the well #4 project. It shows \$119,000, that's the district share of that. That's all in red. We haven't approved those bills yet. That's the next thing on the agenda, but that's why it shows some decline in the overall reserves or total dollars.

Road Reserve - \$444,830.81

 First Interstate - \$14,302.47

 WGIF - \$430,528.34

Water Reserve - \$122,522.18

 First Interstate - \$14,148.65

 WGIF - \$108,373.53

Operating Account - \$204,432.40

 First Interstate - \$(2,696.02)

 WGIF - \$110,622.27

 First Interstate - \$42,711.35

Well #4 - \$(119,989.97)

Total funds on hand - \$598,000.62

No Public Comment

9. **Approve payment of invoices:**

Action: Bob Norton made a motion that the election advertising expense be split 50/50 between road and water. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0. Bob Norton made a motion to approve the payment of invoices. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - I just wanted everybody to be able to see the second page. This is the work on well #4 and the meter

project. The majority of the well #4 work is \$144,000. A majority of that is for the well driller. The meter work is \$36,000. The primary bulk of that is materials that have been delivered to the site, but not installed.

Skyline Improvement & Service District					
Date	Vendor	Ref. No	Description	Due Date	Total
9/1/2023	Mountain Property Management		Monthly Management	9/1/2023	\$3000.00
9/14/2023	Lower Valley Energy		acc#294586001-003, 7/14-8/16	9/14/2023	\$672.76
9/14/2023	Energy Laboratories, Inc	574914	nitrate test 8/23	9/14/2023	\$69.25
9/14/2023	Teton County Health Department	24-1328	water test 8/1	9/14/2023	\$20.00
9/14/2023	Clearwater Operations & Services	1740	Aug operations per contract	9/14/2023	\$600.00
9/14/2023	Clearwater Operations & Services	1740	Aug curbstoep work, 811 locates, tank house work	9/14/2023	\$800.00
9/14/2023	Nelson Engineering	62486	engineering work, level 3 app work through 8/31	9/14/2023	\$3653.75
9/21/2023	Hess D'Amours & Krieger	8383	water use rules work 5/26-8/31/23	9/21/2023	\$2687.50
9/21/2023	Hub International	3341676	equipment insurance	9/21/2023	\$1250.00
Total for Skyline Improvement & Service District					\$12753.26
Grand Total - both Pages		\$63,549.69			

**Bills By Property
For Skyline Improvement & Service District
As of 9/21/2023**

Skyline Improvement & Service District - Well #4 Grant invoices

Date	Vendor	Ref. No	Description	Due Date	Total
9/14/2023	Nelson Engineering	62330	well #4 engineering & tests through 8/3	9/14/2023	\$14468.78
Total for Skyline Improvement & Service District - Well #4 Grant invoices					\$14468.78
Total for Skyline Improvement & Service District-to be transferred from road reserve as part of intra-company loan					\$3617.20
Total for WWDC - awaiting their disbursement					\$10851.58
Total Due					\$14468.78

Skyline Improvement & Service District - Meter Loan invoices

9/14/2023	Anytime Plumbing	pay app 1	meter installation work	9/14/2023	\$35873.90
9/20/2023	Nelson Engineering	62270	engineering through 7/22/23	9/20/2023	\$453.75
Total for Skyline Improvement & Service District - Meter Loan invoices					\$36327.65

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - The election notice for Teton Media Works. We keep beating a dead horse here, but back when Jim Lewis started his allocation between road and water, he was at 55/45 or 60/40, you even on this one are at 80/20. Just from my perspective, I think when you have an election situation, the least you could do is 50/50. It doesn't make sense. Everything keeps going to water. I formally request that these numbers be adjusted in a manner that is equitable to water versus road. This one is 70/30.

Mike Minter - I see here on the 14th, Clearwater Operations, August curb stop work. It's not a big expenditure, but you and I have had an exchange about the curb stop. Can you give us an update on what the plan is?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - The bill from Clearwater Operations is for location of curb stops, part of it being for 355 Meadowlark and a couple of inspections they had to do when they were redoing their waterline. Those are not the improvements that we approved at the last meeting.

Public Comment:

Mike Minter - I was hoping to have ours done given that we're going to be gone for a while.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - I asked them to get yours done before the 26th. In an email I received today, they're going to be there and do it on the 25th.

Public Comment:

John Willott - I'm a little surprised to see \$14,500 at this late date involved in the well engineering and testing. Could you elaborate why the meter's running so hot there?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - That is all the testing and monitoring that Nelson did. It also includes sending samples to the lab and includes the lab bills which were subcontracted through Nelson. Most of that was while they were doing all the pump testing. There was development, testing and then step tests and long-term tests. There was a geologist on site while they were doing the testing, and then when they were doing the sampling.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - How many days were they doing the testing?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - They were developing for one day, then they had a pump failure, so they pulled off. They then came back and did some more development pumping. Then, for one day, they did step tests for production, then they did a 24-hour test. The well tested at 750 gallons a minute and all those tests performed well. Then water samples were taken midway through the long-term test at the end of the long term pump test.

Public Comment:

John Willott - How long was the long-term test?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - 24 hours. It is all within budget. There's nothing gone over the budget. I think Nelson will be under budget when they're all done, but we're not quite done yet. The contractor's done. Nelson still has to make some changes to the final report. We also had to take one more water quality test. One of the bacteria tests for iron bacteria came back positive. That sample was taken towards the end of the pumping, but before the well had been disinfected when the contractor pulled off. They went back there last Monday and took another sample to send to the lab for the iron bacteria. That was a sample after the disinfection.

Public Comment:

John Willott - If that sample was taken with all the equipment in the well, it might've gotten contaminated from the well equipment itself.

Board Response:

Bob Norton – Yes, typically when we have this situation, somebody accidentally kicked some dirt into the well or there's some wet dirt on surface, dirt on the drill bit or something like that, that happens. But, it was a pretty high number and I am not sure what's going on. That's one reason why we sampled again. The sample that we took should be able to tell us if there's a special need for disinfection again, but don't know that yet.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - I noticed they came back a couple of times and ran overnight pumping tests and maybe that's what you were explaining, that they had come back to redo that.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - They only ran one 24-hour overnight test. The other tests were run during the day, but they did have a pump failure, so they did pull the pump back out, got it fixed and then came back in. That may be what you're referring to, the days where they moved off site and came back.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - I didn't check them after dark. I could only see it in the daytime. I was walking, around nine o'clock and the pump was spraying water out, so I assumed they had run a couple of scenarios on it. My question is if you could post the budget versus actual for the well project and the meter project monthly. That way we can see where we are budget versus actual for our projects. I mean I know you mentioned you thought we'd stay in budget. I don't know how close we are, what pieces that are remaining. I think it would helpful on a monthly basis for these kinds of infrastructure projects to post a project budget for review and make it part of the package that goes out for before the meetings.

10. Update on Well #4 and WWDC Level III Grant:

Action: Bob Norton made a motion to request a six-month extension from the WWDC. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0. Bob will communicate this request to the WWDC.

Board Comment:

Bob Norton – The well was drilled. It was completed at about 90 feet. There is 15 feet of screen. They had 20 feet, but the first five feet of was covered with the surface casing. They pump tested it. At one point in time, they were pumping at 1400 gallons a minute. I think that's when they damaged their pump. When the pump was repaired, they ran step tests and then ran a 24-hour test at 750 gallons a minute, which is 150% of our production rate of 500. The drawdown wasn't very much, it was about six feet. It was minor at 750. They took water samples midway through the 24-hour test and then at the end. That's when one of the samples came in high. The rest of the samples were well below the EPA mandated minimum numbers.

Because of the delays that have happened with this project, the WWDC would like to extend the contract. Currently, it ends December 31st, and they have to go to their commission to get that approval.

I'm going to make a motion that we request to extend the contract, with the primary reason being billing. It takes so long to get bills in from the contractor and approved by us, sent to the Water Development Commission, approved by them. I'm not sure we will get the final bill with the retainage by December 31st. So, they would like an automatic six-month extension. It doesn't mean we have to take six months; it just means that if a bill comes in on January 3rd, they can pay it.

Public Comment:

John Willott - Was it the one at the end that showed high, or was it during the step test?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - It was in the long-term test. There were two samples taken, one at the first 12 hours, and then one at the end of the 24. The sample was taken on August 8th. But I don't recall if that would've been the middle one or not.

Public Comment:

John Willott - While they we were doing the 24-hour step test, when pulling the high volumes, did anybody look at what the gauges over on the other wells were doing?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - They had a pressure transducer in well #2, which is the closest one. That was set up before the 24-hour test. So, we got a day before they started pumping it, and then through that whole 24-hour test. It did have a little effect on well #2, a foot or two, but it was not dramatic. In addition, we were still pumping well #3 to supply the subdivision while we were pump testing Well No. 4. So, you could see when well #3 came on because we were constantly pumping well #4, and then well #3 came on and off and you could see a little change in well #2's water level when that happened. But again, very minor. That will be in that report, which we'll get shortly. It's been sent to WWDC.

Public Comment:

John Willott - It basically means all three wells are in the same major aquifer, which is very good news in my mind. We're not in some little pocket. It sounds like we could run all three wells simultaneously if we wanted to.

11. Update on Water Meter Project:

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - The State Land Investment Board (SLIB) staff will be here on Wednesday to do an inspection. They have requested that we put an EPA and SLIB project sign up. I suggest we just put it by the mailboxes. I think maybe we ought to just put it on the website just to notify everybody.

For their inspection, they'll watch the plumber put in a meter or two, and they'll also check the Davis Bacon wage rates. Which are requirements of the project. To date, I don't know how many meters have been installed. They put my meter in yesterday and were very efficient. It probably took them less than a half hour, and the meter is working. Their intent is to be done by the end of September. I think that's a little optimistic, I think they'll be a little bit in October.

Public Comment:

Mike Minter - Should everyone have been contacted to get a date when the plumber will come to their house? Because we haven't been contacted yet.

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - When they called me, they said, can we come today. So, there wasn't a whole lot of notice. To answer your question, no, they probably haven't contacted people. It's up to Plumbing Anytime to contact people unless owners have called them. If you want to have them get it done in the next couple of days, please call them.

12. Approve refund of \$42.15 to 500 N. Meadowlark:

Action: The refund of \$42.15 to 500 N. Meadowlark will be tabled until the board has more information.

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - This is a request by the Johnson's. I made a previous comment that I thought this was appropriate because they bought a meter, and we were going to have to adjust all of the billings, or assessments for the meters. We got some feedback in particular from Warren who said, everybody was promised it was going to be \$82 per lot regardless of what they had, whether they had two meters, a two-inch meter, a five eighths meter, and everybody was going to pay \$84 a lot for 20 years.

If we go back and adjust things, we're going to have to deal with that. My opinion is that people ought to pay for the meters that they have installed. At this point in time, we can't refund 500 North Meadowlark if we're not going to adjust for the others.

Kurt Harland - I understand that Bob, but I also think that there were some commitments to 500 Meadowlark at the time they were buying their meter. I think they need to contribute to the meter project for the software, and the readers, and other things. I do think reimbursing them for the meter that they purchased so that the district didn't

have to is reasonable. There was a commitment from the board to do that. Later this year we can have a further discussion on it and vote.

Bob Norton - The other thing I'd say is, the meter that we are getting is an Neptune meter similar to the meter they installed, but the new meters has the meter reading component, or the radio read system built right into it. So that may have to be an addition onto their meter.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - So the question comes down to, is to give all of us the billing cost for this total project and take the software component out of it. You're talking about two parts of the software, maybe three. Part one is being able to integrate your radio frequency to get the water meter readings and having it go directly into the Excel spreadsheet. That is a part of this project, it's included in the \$84.30 a year. Number two is that there's going to be a software upgrade over time. That is a question that is not included in the \$84.30, that is a totally separate billing that you're going to be charging each of the lots that have the new meters. That's an independent number from what we're talking about with the \$84.30 cents, that will go on to everyone's billing in addition to the people who got the new meter. Therefore the \$84.30 cents a year that you're charging includes the software integration with an Excel spreadsheet at Mountain Property Management's office. And that portion is something that can be looked at and identified on a one-time basis by the contractor.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - You've left out the meter reading equipment and some of the other things, but you're right in that it's a one-time expense.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - So the integration of all of that is something that if you want to put that out as a portion of the \$84.30 on integrating the software with the Excel spreadsheet, that's fine. But I don't know if Anyplace Plumbing has done that, but it's in their contract. So, it's easy to put that together and give us the number and you can work between the \$42.15 and the number that that is for the upfront cost.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - It is in their contract to furnish the software and meter reading equipment. I don't disagree with you. The issue I have is that we're charging \$84 per lot regardless of whether they have a two inch meter, have a one inch meter, have a five eighths meter, it's not equitable in that regard. That's something this board has to decide, and the people have to weigh in on. That's why we tabled this because we've got to get back to have the whole board here and find out what people's opinion is.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - I don't understand what the real issue is regarding our having put the meter in with the blessing of the board. The question is from the perspective of, are you anticipating that there's going to be from the \$84 a year, \$1 a year for software upgrades?

Board Response:

Bob Norton - The software upgrades will be in the normal operation maintenance budget.

Kurt Harland - The \$84 could be adjusted depending on how many lots they're being placed at.

Bob Norton - We need to make some adjustments, and another adjustment will come if we get 25% forgiveness, we're going to reduce the whole loan amount. There's going to be adjustments and it's not appropriate to do it until we have all the figures.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - So the good news about a loan forgiveness of 25%, then that means assuming you repay \$42.15 to 500 North Meadowlark, that you go from 90 units to 89 units, and you reapportion the 25% forgiveness to 89 units. I can put this into a legal document if you want.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - It doesn't need to be a legal document. We just need to sit down with all the numbers in hand, which we don't have right now, and talk it through, and talk about whether the \$84 per lot is an equitable way to do it. You can look at the bid tabs, you can see exactly what the dollars are and you can weigh in after that. But for right now, I want to table it until all board members have looked at that info.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - This is where it's problematic in trying to disclose to the public through a public meeting, provide the information for all members to work from, and use that information to go to borrow funds. The idea was that not everybody was going to pay for the meters, that all the empty lots and homes that didn't have lots would in the future at some point in time have to buy their own meter to put in. That's what the documentation says. This idea now that the person in 355 Meadowlark, who hasn't paid in for the last two years their \$84, is now waiting on a meter to be installed on their property, is inconsistent with what was disclosed in the public information.

Board Response:

Bob Norton - They've been assessed the \$84. Every lot that had a house on it or a building permit. If they had that building permit they've been assessed.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - I disagree that they were there. If you go back and use the count, that was one of the differences between how many lots were going to be assessed for the water well, which I believe was 90, and how many lots were going to be assessed for the meter, which I believe was 84. There was a difference in the numbers between the two. The whole justification for the remote readers was for the benefit of the ISD, not for the benefit of homeowners. This idea that you believe it is an open question after the public meeting, which said, a cost per lot. Those lots were defined as houses with homes on them, and now because you believe you can just randomly change the rules two years after assessing people, and after the public meeting, is problematic.

Board Response:

Kurt Harland - This is not even a discussable point. We're not at a point in the meeting where we are open for discussion on this, we have tabled the approval to refund \$42.15 and we're moving on from this. We don't have all the information, the assessment will change, as we've always talked about, once we get the final numbers in. Discussion is ended.

13. **Review how the Distribution System Replacement will be paid for:**

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - We haven't made any application for the distribution system. But, the assumption is that it will be an SRF loan at 2.5%. Hopefully there are some grant funds that are available. Also, there's potential, depending on interest rates in 2030, that we get it a little bit less than 2.5%. There is also the potential for loan forgiveness. One of the questions was about having the reserves sufficient to pay for a portion of the project. My analysis is that we would have 25% of the cost of the water project in the reserves, and a little more in the road portion. There are a lot of factors that we need to talk about. But, I think Skyline will be able to build sufficient funds to replace that distribution system in 2030-2031.

Public Comment:

Worthy Johnson - I think it's good for everybody to know how we're going to pay for the total replacement of the

water utility, and keeping people updated on that along with pricing. It is a transparent way to let people know what the future cost is for doing all these items. I'm just asking if we can try to keep that available and updated as we go along so that everyone is aware of it or can see what the future costs are.

Warren Machol - The idea that I brought up why it's a problem to retroactively renege on what is disclosed in public meetings is something that is problematic. Your continued shutting down that conversation is inappropriate.

14. **Renew Property and Liability Insurance:**

Action: Bob Norton made a motion to renew the liability insurance. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

Board Comment:

Bob Norton - The premium is the same as last year. The agent is HUB Insurance.

15. **Bond return for 3180 W. Mallard Rd:**

Action: The return of the bond for 3180 W. Mallard was tabled.

Board Comment:

Kurt Harland – They are done with all heavy excavation. The road seems to be in good shape.

Bob Norton - If they put in a meter pit, are they going to be digging right up against the road again? I would suggest we hold off on the bond return.

Kurt Harland - We'll revisit that once they figure out if they're going to do a yoke downstairs for the meter.

16. The monthly board meeting was suspended at 4:36 p.m.

17. **The Site Committee meeting was called to order at 4:36 p.m.**

Action: Kurt Harland made a motion to approve the 3275 W. Teal roofing project. Bob Norton seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

Bob Norton made a motion to write a letter to 655 N. West Ridge and 275 N. West Ridge to request they submit site plans. Kurt Harland seconded the motion. The motion passed 2-0.

Committee Comment:

Kurt Harland - The only item on the agenda for the site committee is the approval of 3275 W. Teal's roofing project.

Public Comment:

Maria Johnson - Today we learned that the house across from John has evidently not been brought to the site committee. We don't seem to have information on the new construction. Is that what I'm understanding?

Committee Comment:

Bob Norton and Kurt Harland - I have not seen anything.

Public Comment:

Maria Johnson - I know you guys have got a lot on your plate, but we've got Polar Express and 655 N. West Ridge. I think it would be a good idea for the Site Committee to put together a booklet that would be handed out to owners who plan to do major renovations, or new builds.

John Willott - Was there any material put out on the Teal project that was just approved? You guys just approved something that I couldn't find.

Warren Machol – I know there's been several requests over the last three or four months, about 655 and we see lots of traffic coming and going, and recently it's all stuff leaving the job site. The people that were living there appear to be gone now and many of the trailers have disappeared from the site. Do you know when the building committee

gave their approval for that project to start? Do you have any status updates that you can share with us? It's half torn down and it's unsightly and probably dangerous.

Committee Comment:

Bob Norton and Kurt Harland - We don't have any status updates.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - Isn't that the responsibility of the committee when you give an approval to have a timeline and a set of expectations for completing a project?

Committee Comment:

Bob Norton - I don't currently believe there's any requirement that somebody give a timeline for when they're going to build a house and how long it's going to take. That's not a site committee requirement. I guess it could be added if we wanted to change our rules. We could require people to say they're going to get done in a certain amount of time.

Kurt Harland - There's a two-year requirement. But, extensions have been provided for various circumstances. The other thing is we don't really have any way to enforce any of these. If people don't seek the site committee's approval and the county approves it, I don't think litigation would be an option.

Public Comment:

Warren Machol - I know the two years in our Rules and Regulations that all our homes were under when they were built, and I think that's the portion that also authorized this Site Committee to review and approve what people would do on their property. I was under the impression that that Site Committee did keep to a standard or keep to some capacity to update themselves. I know you've been coming up and down Westridge. So, you've probably seen the property yourself firsthand and seen its state of disrepair.

It went through all last winter with a whole big chunk of the house removed and it's still there and it appears it's going to go through there for the winter again. Is there anything that the site committee can do to make that less dangerous and less of a problem?

Committee Comment:

Kurt Harland - I haven't seen anything for that property. So that would require a call from a concerned homeowner to the county to find out what the status is, because Skyline is in the dark.

Public Comment:

John Willott - I'd like to note in the minutes that at this meeting there's at least two houses that are under major construction that the Board and Site Committee know nothing about. I think that puts the ISD and the Site Committee in a very unfortunate position. I think people need to tighten up when heavy equipment is moving up and down the roads that you don't even know about it. You've got mud all over the place on one that has been approved. I mean I remember the two years was in place when Glick took three, and we had an absolute disaster across from my house. If the current project across from me turns into the same story, I'm going to be one very unhappy landowner. What the heck is your guys' responsibility to the community to know what the hell's going on?

The Site Committee meeting was adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

18. Adjournment:


Action: The meeting was adjourned at 4:54 p.m.

Approved


Kurt Harland (Nov 1, 2023 10:16 MDT)

Kurt Harland
Chairman

Approved


Robert Norton (Nov 1, 2023 11:13 MDT)

Robert Norton
Treasurer

Correspondence Received:

From: Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 8:01 AM

To: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Josh,

The previous owner, Bert Raynes, had a water meter and read it on a regular basis.

I told the new owner, Justin Waggoner, that he needed to put in a meter yoke and backflow preventer, and that Skyline ISD would furnish the meter. He told me that he had been in contact with Plumbing Anytime to install the meter yoke. If he cannot install a meter yoke in the crawl space or mechanical room, I suggest that he install a Muller coil type meter pit just after the curb stop.

Bob

From: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2023 3:22 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>

Subject: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Bob or Wendy,

This home was remodeled and the plumber was told a meter doesn't exist by the homeowner and electrician on the project. Evidently there is no access to the crawl space. I didn't see any photos of meters taken in this home, thus I presume we need to do a complete installation?

Josh Kilpatrick, PE

Project Engineer

PH: (307)690-2086

NELSON
ENGINEERING since 1964

Professional Engineers & Land Surveyors

JACKSON, WY • BUFFALO, WY • VICTOR, ID

P.O. Box 1599
430 South Cache St.
Jackson, WY 83001
(307) 733-2087
nelsonengineering.net

This email is confidential.

If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose or use the information contained in it.

If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this document.

From: Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 8:01 AM

To: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Josh,

The previous owner, Bert Raynes, had a water meter and read it on a regular basis.

I told the new owner, Justin Waggoner, that he needed to but in a meter yoke and backflow preventer, and that Skyline ISD would furnish the meter. He told me that he had been in contact with Plumbing Anytime to install the meter yoke. If he cannot install a meter yoke in the crawl space or mechanical room, I suggest that he install a Muller coil type meter pit just after the curb stop.

Bob

From: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2023 3:22 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>

Subject: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Bob or Wendy,

This home was remodeled and the plumber was told a meter doesn't exist by the homeowner and electrician on the project. Evidently there is no access to the crawl space. I didn't see any photos of meters taken in this home, thus I presume we need to do a complete installation?

Josh Kilpatrick, PE

Project Engineer

PH: (307)690-2086

NELSON
ENGINEERING since 1964

Professional Engineers & Land Surveyors

JACKSON, WY • BUFFALO, WY • VICTOR, ID

P.O. Box 1599
430 South Cache St.
Jackson, WY 83001
(307) 733-2087
nelsonengineering.net

This email is confidential.

If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose or use the information contained in it.

If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this document.

From: Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 10:00 AM

To: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Josh,

That is correct. The meter yoke and backflow preventer are the responsibility of the home owner.

Bob

From: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 10:21 AM

To: thejasonwaggoner@gmail.com

Cc: theoffice plumbing-anytime.com <theoffice@plumbing-anytime.com>; anytimesteve <anytimesteve@gmail.com>;

Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Good Morning Jason,

I understand Bob Norton with the Skyline Ranch ISD has talked to you about installing a water meter at your home? It is also my understanding from the plumber (Plumbing Anytime) that your home underwent renovation, the old meter has been pulled, and that there is currently no access to the crawl space? In the event there is not access to your crawl space, I would suggest you work with Plumbing Anytime to install a meter pit exterior to your home.

Please note that the ISD is paying solely for meter replacement, where any additional coordination, work or associated cost thereof beyond replacing an existing meter is the responsibility of the homeowner.

If you would like to contact the plumber directly to coordinate installation, please call Steve Davidson at 307-413-8903. Note that Steve was at your home yesterday and subsequently contacted me.

Also, feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Thanks,

Josh Kilpatrick, PE

Project Engineer

PH: (307)690-2086

NELSON
ENGINEERING since 1964

Professional Engineers & Land Surveyors

JACKSON, WY • BUFFALO, WY • VICTOR, ID

P.O. Box 1599
430 South Cache St.
Jackson, WY 83001
(307) 733-2087
nelsonengineering.net

This email is confidential.

If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose or use the information contained in it.

If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this document.

From: Jason Waggoner <thejasonwaggoner@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 11:52 AM

To: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Cc: theoffice plumbing-anytime.com <theoffice@plumbing-anytime.com>; anytimesteve <anytimesteve@gmail.com>;

Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; mouchkie@verizon.net

Subject: Re: Skyline Ranch - Lot 27_3180 W. Mallard

Hi Josh,

I spoke with Steve today and I'm set for September 15 for installation of the meter and other plumbing work if needed.

Thank you,

Jason

From: Mary Lohuis <marylohuis@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 1:12 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Skyline water meter

Hi Wendy, Mary Lohuis, Lot 29, 3055 W Mallard, here.

Just fyi, no action needed!! I have not yet received an appointment email from the plumbing company that will be installing the new water meter at my home. I am leaving on Monday, August 21 and will be returning on September 4. So I would like to have the meter installation scheduled for after September 4.

Thanks, Mary

One touch of nature makes the whole world kin.

- William Shakespeare

From: Maria Johnson <mariajjohnson53@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 1:58 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Meeting documents?

Hi Wendy,

Saw the agenda but I don't see Meeting documents

--

Maria J. Johnson

307.203.2600 WY

941.964.7526 FL

From: Perk Perkins <perkinsp@orvis.com>

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2023 6:15 AM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Election Information

Thank you for this heads up. Will the ballots be mailed to us or do we need to pick them up?

Thanks

Perk

From: Jim Knoke <knokebuilders@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, August 21, 2023 12:42 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Skyline

Hi Wendy:

Hope all is well with you and that you had a good summer!

I don't want to come off complaining (REALLY) but I have a few questions:

I've left two phone messages and two emails with the plumbers who are putting in new meters and have not heard back from them yet. Are you hearing anything similar or should I just be patient? We are trying to sell the house and I would love to have this done.

How does Skyline handle invasive weeds? I feel like when we first moved into the subdivision that there was a company that came and sprayed. We have an open area behind the house and it is just completely filled with thistle.

Last, our neighbor across the street had an aspen tree fall in their front yard almost two months ago. Is that something that our HOA might speak too?

I'm not asking you to contact any neighbors, I'm just looking for information.

Let me know what you think and thanks for your time.

Renee Knoke

From: Suzanne Lagerman <slagerman@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Tuesday, August 22, 2023 2:41 PM

To: WDO-PayRequest@wyo.gov

Cc: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>; bobnorton51@gmail.com; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: WWDC Project Application for Level III Construction Funding - Skyline ISD, Jackson, Teton Co., WY

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached project application for Level III Construction Funding sent on behalf of the Skyline Improvement and Service District, Jackson, Teton County, Wyoming.

A hard copy including the application fee has been sent as well via UPS.

Please feel free to reach out with any questions. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Lagerman

Suzanne Lagerman

Project Engineer
Nelson Engineering
P.O. Box 1599
Jackson, WY 83001
307-733-2087 ext 143
Dial my extension at any time.

From: Maria Johnson <mariajohnson53@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, August 25, 2023 8:25 AM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Please share with the Board

Areas on NW Ridge that didn't get easement grass cut.

From: Warren Machol <wlm.assoc@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, August 28, 2023 1:32 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: election

Wendy

Who has submitted an application to run for the board?

All the best

Warren

From: Streator, Jamie <Jamie.Streator@cowen.com>

Sent: Monday, August 28, 2023 4:35 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Hi there if I am interested in putting my name up for the board do I still have time to submit my info sorry for the late request thanks

E James Streator, III | Managing Director | Investment Banking | TD Cowen

Email: Jamie.streator@cowen.com

Mobile: 203-856-7780

From: James Miller <jim@tetonrealestate.us>

Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2023 8:12 AM

To: Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>

Cc: Bob Norton <bobnorton51@gmail.com>; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Kurt J. Harland <thekurtharland@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Grading and Erosion Plan for 355 Meadowlark Rd

Sorry, am back in civilization. Was in Stanley, ID (sawtooths) with little to no internet. Could jump on wifi at one location but so could 500 other people and it was painful. Just seeing this. I expected it wasn't good with the hurricane. It rained for 60+/- hours nonstop in Idaho, I heard it was similar here.

No excuse for cleaning at 7:40 PM. I have no idea who or why they were working so late. As far as I know, that was the latest we've ever cleaned the road. In general, the guys that clean it go home at 5-5:30PM. I agree it should be consistent. I'll talk with them today about it. I do agree the picture below is unacceptable to leave it like that.

I don't know what you mean by "realignment" of the driveway. As far as I know it is in the approved location and I've never asked for it be moved.

I expect an update from the contractor today, but for 3+ weeks we have been scheduled to start paving subgrade the 28th-30th range. Hopefully that happens. The paving contractor told me 5 days to do everything -stone/paving. So we should be very near the end.

Jim Miller
Boardwalk Real Estate, Manager
Teton Real Estate, Manager
570-527-7537
PO Box 610 (USPS)
Wilson, WY 83014

-----Original Message-----

From: Maria Johnson <mariajohnson53@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 1:26 PM
To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>
Subject: Election

Do we know who is running? Who has submitted the proper papers?

Sent from my iPhone

From: James Miller <jim@tetonrealestate.us>
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:49 PM
To: Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>
Cc: Bob Norton <bobnorton51@gmail.com>; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Kurt J. Harland <thekurtharland@gmail.com>; Jason Kunde <jason@pwcquality.com>
Subject: RE: Grading and Erosion Plan for 355 Meadowlark Rd

Met with Pacific West Contracting yesterday, Jason Kunde. I have added him to this email. He says the paving is now pushed back to next week and he is following up with the paving contractor. We are ready for him.

We've been cleaning the street, but we are on our second street sweeper and this one is also broke. I directed them to hand sweep this afternoon.

Jim Miller
Boardwalk Real Estate, Manager
Teton Real Estate, Manager
570-527-7537

PO Box 610 (USPS)
Wilson, WY 83014

From: Jones, Jon <Jon.Jones@coreandmain.com>

Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 3:35 PM

To: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Cc: anytimesteve <anytimesteve@gmail.com>; theoffice plumbing-anytime.com <theoffice@plumbing-anytime.com>; clearwateroperations@gmail.com; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>; thekurtharland@gmail.com; Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Meter Reading & Software Training

Josh,

Has the board met and decided who the point of contact will be?

We need to get this software rolling soon so they can read these meters!

Thanks,

Jon

From: Josh Kilpatrick <jkilpatrick@nelsonengineering.net>

Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 4:40 PM

To: Jones, Jon <Jon.Jones@coreandmain.com>

Cc: anytimesteve <anytimesteve@gmail.com>; theoffice plumbing-anytime.com <theoffice@plumbing-anytime.com>; clearwateroperations@gmail.com; Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>; Robert Norton <norton@nelsonengineering.net>; thekurtharland@gmail.com; Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>

Subject: RE: Skyline Ranch - Meter Reading & Software Training

Hi Jon,

Emily Hanner with Clearwater Operations is your contact for training.

Her contact is:

PH: 307-690-9557

Email: clearwateroperations@gmail.com

Thanks!

Josh Kilpatrick, PE

Project Engineer

PH: (307)690-2086

NELSON
ENGINEERING since 1964

Professional Engineers & Land Surveyors

JACKSON, WY • BUFFALO, WY • VICTOR, ID

P.O. Box 1599
430 South Cache St.
Jackson, WY 83001
(307) 733-2087
nelsonengineering.net

*This email is confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose or use the information contained in it.
If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this document.*

From: Worthy Johnson <wjohnson@lawrencecapitalmgt.com>
Date: Thursday, August 31, 2023 at 9:05 AM
To: Leah Duke <leah@mpmjh.com>, Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>, "bobnorton53@gmail.com" <bobnorton53@gmail.com>
Cc: Kurt Harland <thekurtharland@gmail.com>, Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>, Maria Johnson <mariajohnson53@gmail.com>
Subject: FW: Water Meter Loan not to be Charged to 500 N. Meadowlark Rd

To whom it may concern.....Maria and I demand that we be PERMANENTLY TAKEN OFF the SLIB LOAN 20 Year repayment schedule and that it be recorded both on the Minutes of the next meeting as well as at town hall. No future owner of this property is liable for the \$84.30 annual repayment of the loan.

Leah, I do not want to see this on the submission you make for our Lot 3-30.

Kindly confirm receipt and confirm the repayment of \$42.15 to be on the Sept. Board Meeting Agenda.

Worthy & Maria Johnson
500 N. Meadowlark Rd
Jackson, WY 83001*

- **New Mailing & Physical address**

From: Warren Machol <wlm.assoc@gmail.com>
Date: Thursday, August 31, 2023 at 9:43 AM
To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>
Subject: Re: election

Hello Wendy

Is there an update on this?

All the best

Warren

From: LGLP Renewals <lglp@lglp.net>
Sent: Friday, September 1, 2023 7:53 AM
To: LGLP Renewals <lglp@lglp.net>
Subject: Nominations for LGLP Board Vacancies

Dear Members,

The Local Government Liability Pool Rules and Regulations, Chapter II, Section 1, states the board shall be comprised of two (2) county commissioners, two (2) elected municipal officials, two (2) representatives of special districts and one (1) at-large member. Chapter II, Section 2, states members of the board will be elected from among participating local governmental entities upon the nomination and vote of the governing body of the member entities.

The terms of three (3) board members expire December 31, 2023. All three current members intend to seek re-election. The positions up for election this fall are: one (1) county commissioner, one (1) elected municipal official, and one (1) special district position. The board positions are for three-year terms.

The Local Government Liability Pool Board has nominated for re-election, current board members Richard Grant, Jr., Converse County Commissioner for the county commissioner position, Mike Bailey, City of Riverton Council for the elected municipal position, and Hale Redding, Weston County Weed & Pest for the special district position. Nominations of these individuals were voted on and approved by the board.

Additional nominations for positions are open. Each LGLP member entity may nominate someone for these positions.

Nominations for the positions shall only be from a governmental entity that participates in the Local Government Liability Pool. If you have a nomination for one of these openings, please submit your nomination on the attached form. Nominations shall contain a brief biography of the individual nominated.

Nominations are to be received by the Local Government Liability Pool's office no later than **October 27, 2023**. Nominations received after October 27, 2023, will not be considered. Nominations will be accepted via:

USPS

LocalGovernmentLiabilityPool
6844YellowtailRoad
Cheyenne, WY 82009

Email

lglp@lglp.net

Fax

(307) 638-6211

Any questions may be directed to Torey Racines, Executive Director at (307) 638-1911. (The nomination form is attached)

Thank you,

Local Government Liability Pool
6844 Yellowtail
Cheyenne, WY 82009
Phone 307.638.1911

From: Warren Machol <wlm.assoc@gmail.com>

Date: Thursday, August 31, 2023 at 8:57 AM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Re: election

Would you please send me the application

From: Warren Machol <wlm.assoc@gmail.com>

Date: Thursday, August 31, 2023 at 2:12 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Re: election

Aware you take off Fridays so following up on my request for the entire application before the long weekend.

If request refused please let me know.

All the best

Warren

From: Worthy Johnson <wjohnson@lawrencecapitalmgt.com>

Date: Saturday, September 2, 2023 at 8:37 AM

To: Leah Duke <leah@mpmjh.com>, Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>, "bobnorton53@gmail.com" <bobnorton53@gmail.com>

Cc: Kurt Harland <thekurtharland@gmail.com>, Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>, Maria Johnson <mariajohnson53@gmail.com>, Worthy Johnson <wjohnson@lawrencecapitalmgt.com>

Subject: FW: 2nd half '22-'23 Water Meter Charge to be Reimbursed

Dear Leah,

Humble apologies. It appears my emails come in in batches vs streaming. I totally missed the 18 August email from you.

Kindly get onto the agenda, Bob the reimbursement of the second half of our \$42.15 reimbursement.

Happy Labor Day all.



From: "Streator, Jamie" <Jamie.Streator@cowen.com>

Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 at 3:41 PM

To: Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: Re: Hi there if I am interested in putting my name up for the board do I still have time to submit my info sorry for the late request thanks

Is there anything else I need to do?

Jamie

E James Streator, III | Managing Director | Investment Banking | **TD Cowen**

Email: Jamie.streator@cowen.com

Mobile: 203-856-7780

From: James Miller <jim@tetonrealestate.us>

Date: Thursday, September 21, 2023 at 1:43 PM

To: Kurt Harland <thekurtharland@gmail.com>

Cc: Bob Norton <bobnorton51@gmail.com>, Jason Kunde <jason@pwcquality.com>, Latham Jenkins <latham@livewaterproperties.com>, Wendy Meyring <wendy@mpmjh.com>

Subject: RE: Grading and Erosion Plan for 355 Meadowlark Rd

I just talked with superintendent, he says "he's on it."

Jim Miller

Boardwalk Real Estate, Manager

Teton Real Estate, Manager

570-527-7537

PO Box 610 (USPS)

Wilson, WY 83014












Skyline Minutes 9-21-23

Final Audit Report

2023-11-01

Created:	2023-10-25
By:	Mountain Property Management (info@mpmjh.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAACJaJinQySEf0JxW5xSnWnDYhwJ_NcsXD

"Skyline Minutes 9-21-23" History

-  Document created by Mountain Property Management (info@mpmjh.com)
2023-10-25 - 4:34:33 PM GMT- IP address: 207.183.166.24
-  Document emailed to Kurt Harland (kurt@bhhsjacksonhole.com) for signature
2023-10-25 - 4:35:38 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Kurt Harland (kurt@bhhsjacksonhole.com)
2023-10-25 - 4:35:46 PM GMT- IP address: 66.102.6.36
-  Email viewed by Kurt Harland (kurt@bhhsjacksonhole.com)
2023-10-31 - 3:31:28 PM GMT- IP address: 66.102.6.40
-  Email viewed by Kurt Harland (kurt@bhhsjacksonhole.com)
2023-11-01 - 4:15:30 PM GMT- IP address: 66.102.6.39
-  Document e-signed by Kurt Harland (kurt@bhhsjacksonhole.com)
Signature Date: 2023-11-01 - 4:16:27 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 184.167.8.215
-  Document emailed to Bob Norton (bobnorton51@gmail.com) for signature
2023-11-01 - 4:16:29 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Bob Norton (bobnorton51@gmail.com)
2023-11-01 - 4:58:10 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.72
-  Signer Bob Norton (bobnorton51@gmail.com) entered name at signing as Robert Norton
2023-11-01 - 5:13:02 PM GMT- IP address: 207.183.166.24
-  Document e-signed by Robert Norton (bobnorton51@gmail.com)
Signature Date: 2023-11-01 - 5:13:04 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 207.183.166.24
-  Agreement completed.
2023-11-01 - 5:13:04 PM GMT